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## Our contribution

## Attack by Naccache, Smart and Stern at EUROCRYPT'04

Attack on Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems when the returned point of some signature schemes is given in projective coordinates $(X, Y, Z)$.

## Feasibility of the attack

In many systems, results are given in affine coordinates $(x, y)$.
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Attack on Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems when the returned point of some signature schemes is given in projective coordinates $(X, Y, Z)$.

## Feasibility of the attack

In many systems, results are given in affine coordinates $(x, y)$.

## Our fault attack model

Injecting an error during the conversion process to recover the missing $Z$ coordinate.
We propose 3 different ways to recover the missing $Z$ coordinate depending on the fault's precision.
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## Elliptic Curve

## Elliptic Curve on affine coordinates

On a field $\mathbb{F}_{p}, p>3$, an elliptic curve $E$ is the set of points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, satisfying

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b, \text { with } 4 a^{3}+27 b^{2} \neq 0
$$

plus the point at infinity $\mathcal{O}$.
Costly formulæ because of inversions.

## Elliptic Curve on Jacobian coordinates

To prevent costly division, represent the point $(x, y)$ by $\left(x Z^{2}, y Z^{3}, Z\right)$ for any non-zero $Z$. The curve equation is

$$
Y^{2}=X^{3}+a X Z^{4}+b Z^{6}
$$

with $\mathcal{O}=(1,1,0)$ and the equivalence relation $(X, Y, Z) \sim\left(\lambda^{2} X, \lambda^{3} Y, \lambda Z\right)$. To retrieve the affine coordinates from ( $X, Y, Z$ ), compute $(x, y):=(x, y, 1)=\left(X / Z^{2}, Y / Z^{3}, 1\right)$.

## Returning the result in Jacobian coordinates

## Computation of $Q=[k] P$

Operation called Elliptic Curve Scalar Multiplication (ECSM)

- with $k$ private
- with $P$ public

Is it secure to return the value $Q=(X, Y, Z)$ in Jacobian coordinates?
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## Computation of $Q=[k] P$

Operation called Elliptic Curve Scalar Multiplication (ECSM)

- with $k$ private
- with $P$ public

Is it secure to return the value $Q=(X, Y, Z)$ in Jacobian coordinates?

## No

"Projective coordinates leak" at Eurocrypt 2004 by Naccache, Smart, Stern. Some bits of $k$ can be retrieved.
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## Group law in Jacobian coordinates

$P_{1}=\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)=\left(x_{1} Z_{1}^{2}, y_{1} Z_{1}^{3}, Z_{1}\right), P_{2}=\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}, 1\right)=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, 1\right)$

Algorithm ECDBL $=\left\{\begin{array}{l}S=4 X_{1} Y_{1}^{2} \\ M=3 X_{1}^{2}+a Z_{1}^{4} \\ X_{3}=-2 S+M^{2} \\ Y_{3}=-8 Y_{1}^{4}+M\left(S-X_{3}\right) \\ Z_{3}=2 Y_{1} Z_{1}=2 y_{1} Z_{1}^{4} \\ P_{3}=\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}, Z_{3}\right)\end{array}\right.$ $\operatorname{return}\left(P_{3}=2 P_{1}\right)$

Algorithm ECADD $=\left\{\begin{array}{l}H=x_{2} Z_{1}^{2}-X_{1} \\ R=y_{2} Z_{1}^{3}-Y_{1} \\ X_{3}=-H^{3}-2 U H^{2}+R^{2} \\ Y_{3}=-S H^{3}+R\left(U H^{2}-X_{3}\right) \\ Z_{3}=Z_{1} H=Z_{1}^{3}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \\ P_{3}=\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}, Z_{3}\right)\end{array}\right.$

$$
\text { return }\left(P_{3}=P_{1}+P_{2}\right)
$$

## Description of the attack

## Output result in Jacobian coordinates

$[k] P=\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ is computed using the Double-and-Add method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \leftarrow P \\
& \text { for } i=N-2 \text { downto } 0 \text { do } \\
& \quad A \leftarrow \operatorname{ECDBL}(A) \\
& \quad \text { if } k_{i}=1 \text { then } A \leftarrow \operatorname{ECADD}(A, P) \\
& \text { end for } \\
& \text { return } A=[k] P=\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { If } k_{0}=0
$$

The last operation to obtain $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ was a doubling. Is this possible?

$$
\text { If } k_{0}=1
$$

The last operations to obtain ( $X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}$ ) was a doubling followed by an addition. Is this possible?

## Description of the attack

Notation: $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)$ are the coordinates of the point $A$ at the end of iteration 1

## If $k_{0}=0$

The last operation to obtain $Q=\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ was a doubling.

$$
Z_{0}=2 Y_{1} Z_{1}=2 y_{1} Z_{1}^{4} \Rightarrow Z_{1}^{4}=\frac{Z_{0}}{2 y_{1}}
$$

- $Z_{0}$ is given in the output
- Halve the point $Q \Rightarrow\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=\left[2^{-1} \bmod \# E\right] Q$
- Only $Z_{1}$ is unknown


## Result

- If $\frac{z_{0}}{2 y_{1}}$ is not a fourth root, then $k_{0}=1$
- If $\frac{Z_{0}}{2 y_{1}}$ is a fourth root, then compute the "possible" $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)$ points


## Description of the attack

In an analogous manner, if $k_{0}=1$, the last operation was an addition. Addition involves a cube for the $Z$ coordinates $\Rightarrow$ try a cubic root.

## Backtracking Algorithm

$$
\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}\right)
$$

## Backtracking Algorithm



## Backtracking Algorithm

$\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}, Z_{t}\right)_{0}$

$k_{0}=1$

## Backtracking Algorithm

$\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}, Z_{t}\right)_{0}$
halve $\downarrow$
$\emptyset$
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## Synthesis of Naccache et al.' attack

- The attack cannot permit to recover all bits of the scalar, only a few. This is enough for some protocols.
- The result must be in Jacobian coordinates $(X, Y, Z)$. In schemes, the results are in affine coordinates $(x, y)$. $[k] P$ is computed in Jacobian coordinates and the point is converted in affine coordinates before returning it.
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- The result must be in Jacobian coordinates $(X, Y, Z)$. In schemes, the results are in affine coordinates $(x, y)$. $[k] P$ is computed in Jacobian coordinates and the point is converted in affine coordinates before returning it.


## Our contribution

Inject a fault during the conversion procedure, so that the faulty result in affine coordinates contains some information on the missing coordinate $Z$.
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## Fault on conversion procedure

## Conversion Procedure

The following procedure converts $P=(X, Y, Z)=\left(x Z^{2}, y Z^{3}, Z\right)$ from Jacobian to affine coordinates $(x, y)$.

$$
\operatorname{CONVERT}(X, Y, Z)= \begin{cases}r & \leftarrow Z^{-1} \\ s & \leftarrow r^{2} \\ x & \leftarrow X \cdot s \\ t & \leftarrow Y \cdot s \\ y & \leftarrow t \cdot r \quad \text { return }(x, y)\end{cases}
$$

## Fault on conversion procedure

## Conversion Procedure

The following procedure converts $P=(X, Y, Z)=\left(x Z^{2}, y Z^{3}, Z\right)$ from Jacobian to affine coordinates $(x, y)$.

$$
\operatorname{CONVERT}(X, Y, Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
r & \leftarrow Z^{-1} \\
s & \leftarrow r^{2} \\
\tilde{s}=s+\varepsilon & \leftarrow \text { corruption of } s \quad \underset{x}{ } & \leftarrow X \cdot \tilde{s} \\
\tilde{t} & \leftarrow Y \cdot \tilde{s} \\
\tilde{y} & \leftarrow \tilde{t} \cdot r & \text { return }(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Equations system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{x}=x+x Z^{2} \varepsilon \quad \bmod p \\
& \tilde{y}=y+y Z^{2} \varepsilon \quad \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Large Unknown Faults and a correct result



## Large Unknown Faults and a correct result



## Equations system

Unknown values in red

$$
\tilde{x}_{i}=x+x Z^{2} \varepsilon_{i} \Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{x}_{i}}{x}-1=Z^{2} \varepsilon_{i} \quad \bmod p \text { with } \varepsilon_{i}<p^{a} \text { for some } a<1
$$

## Large Unknown Faults and a correct result

## Equations system with a known result $(x, y)$

Unknown values in red

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}_{i}=x+x Z^{2} \varepsilon_{i} & \Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{x}_{i}}{x}-1=Z^{2} \varepsilon_{i} \bmod p \text { with } \varepsilon_{i}<p^{a} \text { for some } a<1 \\
& \Rightarrow u_{i}=Z^{2} \varepsilon_{i} \bmod p \text { with } u_{i}=\frac{\tilde{x}_{i}}{x}-1 \\
& \Rightarrow \varepsilon=s \cdot \mathbf{u} \bmod p \text { with } s=Z^{-2}, \mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), \varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Recover $\varepsilon$ using LLL

- Let $L$ be the lattice generated by the vector $\mathbf{u}$ and $p \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$
- Since $\varepsilon$ satisfies $\varepsilon=s \cdot \mathbf{u} \bmod p, \varepsilon$ is a vector in $L$, with $\varepsilon_{i}<p^{a}$
- Then, we can recover $\varepsilon$ directly by reducing $L$ using LLL since $\varepsilon$ is a small vector of the lattice.
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\begin{aligned}
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& \Rightarrow \varepsilon=s \cdot \mathbf{u} \bmod p \text { with } s=Z^{-2}, \mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), \varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)
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$$

## Recover $\varepsilon$ using LLL

- Let $L$ be the lattice generated by the vector $\mathbf{u}$ and $p \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$
- Since $\varepsilon$ satisfies $\varepsilon=s \cdot \mathbf{u} \bmod p, \varepsilon$ is a vector in $L$, with $\varepsilon_{i}<p^{a}$
- Then, we can recover $\varepsilon$ directly by reducing $L$ using LLL since $\varepsilon$ is a small vector of the lattice.
- Simulation (SAGE): with $p \approx 2^{256}$ and $\varepsilon_{i} \approx 2^{224}$, only 9 faults are necessary to recover $\varepsilon$, in 3 ms .
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## Two Faults and a correct result
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## Equations system

Unknown values in red

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\tilde{x_{1}}}{x}-1=u_{1}=Z^{2} \varepsilon_{1} \bmod p \text { with } \varepsilon_{1}<p^{1 / 2} \\
& \frac{\tilde{x_{2}}}{x}-1=u_{2}=Z^{2} \varepsilon_{2} \bmod p \text { with } \varepsilon_{2}<p^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Let $\alpha=u_{1} / u_{2}=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}$

## Two Faults and a correct result

## Equations system

Unknown values in red

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\tilde{x_{1}}}{x}-1=u_{1}=Z^{2} \varepsilon_{1} \bmod p \text { with } \varepsilon_{1}<p^{1 / 2} \\
& \frac{\tilde{x_{2}}}{x}-1=u_{2}=Z^{2} \varepsilon_{2} \bmod p \text { with } \varepsilon_{2}<p^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha=u_{1} / u_{2}=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{-1} \Rightarrow$ problem known as the Rational Number
Reconstruction and is solved using Gauß' algorithm for finding the shortest vector in a bidimensional lattice.

## Theorem

Let $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $-A \leq \varepsilon_{1} \leq A$ and $0<\varepsilon_{2} \leq B$. Let $p>2 A B$ be a prime and $\alpha=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{-1} \bmod p$. Then $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ can be recovered from $A, B, \alpha, p$ in polynomial time.

Recover $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ with $A=B=\lfloor\sqrt{p}\rfloor, 2 A B<p, 0 \leq \varepsilon_{1} \leq A$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon_{2} \leq B$.
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## Known Fault

$\square$

## Known Fault



## Equation

$$
\tilde{x}=x+x Z^{2} \varepsilon \text { with } \varepsilon \text { known }
$$

The knowledge of $x$ suffices to recover $Z$.

## Known Fault on ECDSA

$G$ a public generator of order $n$. Key pair of an entity $(d, P)$ with $P=[d] G$.

```
Algorithm 1 ECDSA Signature
Input: Private key \(d\), message \(m\)
Output: Signature ( \(r, s\) )
\[
\begin{aligned}
& k \stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{\leftarrow}\{1, \ldots, n-1\} \\
& Q \leftarrow[k] G \\
& r \leftarrow x_{Q} \bmod n \\
& i \leftarrow k^{-1} \bmod n \\
& s \leftarrow i(d r+m) \bmod n \\
& \text { return }(r, s)
\end{aligned}
\]
```


## Algorithm 2 ECDSA Verification

Input: Public key $P, m$, signature $(r, s)$
Output: true or false

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w \leftarrow s^{-1} \bmod n \\
& u_{1} \leftarrow w \cdot m \bmod n \\
& u_{2} \leftarrow w \cdot r \bmod n \\
& Q \leftarrow\left[u_{1}\right] G+\left[u_{2}\right] P \\
& v \leftarrow x_{Q} \bmod n \\
& \text { return }(v \stackrel{?}{=} r)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Known Fault on ECDSA

```
Algorithm 3 Wrong ECDSA Signature
Input: Private key \(d\), message \(m\)
Output: Signature \((r, s)\)
    \(k \stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{\leftarrow}\{1, \ldots, n-1\}\)
    \(\left(\tilde{x_{Q}}, \tilde{y_{Q}}\right) \leftarrow[k] G \leftarrow\) fault during
    conversion of \(Q\)
    \(\tilde{r} \leftarrow \tilde{x_{Q}} \bmod n\)
    \(i \leftarrow k^{-1} \bmod n\)
    \(\tilde{s} \leftarrow i(d \tilde{r}+m) \bmod n\)
    return \((\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})\)
```


## Known Fault on ECDSA

$\overline{\text { Algorithm } 5 \text { Wrong ECDSA Signature }}$ Input: Private key $d$, message $m$
Output: Signature ( $r, s$ )
$k \stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{\leftarrow}\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$
$\left(\tilde{x_{Q}}, \tilde{y_{Q}}\right) \leftarrow[k] G \leftarrow$ fault during
conversion of $Q$
$\tilde{r} \leftarrow \tilde{x_{Q}} \bmod n$
$i \leftarrow k^{-1} \bmod n$
$\tilde{s} \leftarrow i(d \tilde{r}+m) \bmod n$ return ( $\tilde{r}, \tilde{s})$
$\overline{\text { Algorithm } 6 \text { Recover the } \times \text { coordinate of } Q}$ Input: $P, m$, wrong signature ( $\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}$ )
Output: $Q$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{w} \leftarrow \tilde{s}^{-1} \bmod n \\
& \tilde{u}_{1} \leftarrow \tilde{w} \cdot m \bmod n \\
& \tilde{u}_{2} \leftarrow \tilde{w} \cdot \tilde{r} \bmod n \\
& \tilde{Q} \leftarrow\left[\tilde{u}_{1}\right] G+\left[\tilde{u}_{2}\right] P \\
& \tilde{Q}=\left[\frac{k m}{d \tilde{r}+m}\right] G+\left[\frac{k \tilde{r}}{d \tilde{r}+m}\right] P \\
& \tilde{Q}=[k] G=Q
\end{aligned}
$$

return $Q$

## Recover the true $x$ coordinate of $Q$

From ( $\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}$ ), we can recover the correct value of $x_{Q}$
$\Rightarrow$ recover the $Z$ coordinate of $Q \Rightarrow$ grab a few bits of $k$
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## Conclusion

## Contribution

- A new kind of fault attack at the end of the ECSM
- The attack permits to perform the Naccache et al.'s attack even when the result is returned in affine coordinates


## Feasibility of the attack

- Practical attacks on particular elliptic curve schemes (large and two faults)
- Theoretical attack on ECDSA. Theoretical because the fault model is too strong.


## Prevention

Check the validity of the result after conversion to affine coordinates.

## Thanks for your attention.

## Thanks for your attention.
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